

REMARKS

A. Status of the Application

As indicated in the Election/Restrictions section of the instant office action, the Examiner, under 35 U.S.C. § 121, has required the restriction of the originally filed invention as follows:

I – Claims 1-14, and

II – Claims 15-19.

Applicant hereby elect to withdraw Claims 15-19. This election is reflected in the preceding “Amendments to the Claims” section. Consequently, the Examiner’s restriction requirement has been complied with in as much that only the claims included in restriction “I” are presently under consideration. Claims 15-19 are drawn to a non-elected invention.

B. Objections to the Specification

The Examiner objected to manner in which trademarks WebTV™, Java® and Active X® were used within the specification. In particular, the Examiner noted that all occurrences of these trademarks should be capitalized and accompanied by generic terminology. With the amendment of Paragraphs [0006], [0065] and [0115], as requested and specified on page 2 of this response, the Applicant believes that the Specification has been brought into conformance.

The Examiner also objected to an informality in Paragraph [0032]. The originally filed application, when referring to a stored object, incorrectly stated that “Decryption can be used to make one portion inaccessible.” The Examiner correctly noted that this sentence should have read, “Encryption can be used to make one portion inaccessible.” Applicant has amended Paragraph [0032] accordingly.


CONCLUSION

The Applicant submits that the presently amended application is in conformance with the Examiner's restriction requirements, and that all objections to the specification have been properly addressed. The Examiner's consideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Dated: June 9, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By



Robert P. Marley

Registration No.: 32,914

Tel.: (215) 323-1907

Attorney for Applicants